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Abstract: 2,4-Dichlorophenoxyacetic acid (2,4-D) was the first synthetic herbicide to be commercially developed and has commonly been used as a broadleaf herbicide for over 60 years. It is a selective herbicide that kills dicots without affecting monocots and mimics natural auxin at molecular level. Physiological responses of dicots sensitive to auxinic herbicides include abnormal growth, senescence and plant death. The identification of auxin receptors, auxin transport carriers, transcription factors response to auxin, and cross-talk among phytohormones have shed light on the molecular action mode of 2,4-D as an herbicide. Here, I highlight the molecular action mode of 2,4-D according to the latest findings, emphasizing the physiological process, perception and signal transduction under herbicide treatment.
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INTRODUCTION

It is well known that undesired plants compete with crops for water, sunshine, carbon dioxide, space and nutrients. Herbicides are agrochemicals used to control the growth of undesired weeds, and aim to significantly increase crop productivity. Most herbicides are small molecules that normally do not cause intrinsic toxicities but inhibit specific molecular target sites within critical plant biochemical and/or physiological pathways, which often cause catastrophic and lethal consequences. Herbicides are generally classified as either nonselective or selective. A nonselective herbicide is used to kill or damage all growth and is generally reserved for agricultural use or for clearing large or heavily overgrown areas; “Roundup” (developed by Monsanto Company) is one of many such herbicides. On the other hand, a selective herbicide is used to control certain types of weeds, and usually works through some type of hormone disruption. Synthetic auxin is a selective herbicide and serves as one of the most important herbicides to control weed growth in agriculture worldwide.

Natural auxins are important phytohormones consisting of indole-3-acetic-acid (IAA) and its related endogenous molecules including 4-chloroindole-3-acetic acid, phenylacetic acid, and indole-3-butyric acid, all of which have similar responses in plants. Academic and industrial laboratories synthesized several synthetic auxins including 1-NAA, 2,4-D, and MCPA as early as the 1940s. Synthetic auxin herbicides opened a new era of weed control in modern crop production due to their selective action, and preferential control of dicot weeds in cereal crops (Grossmann 2003). Auxinic herbicides have been widely used to control dicot weeds in domestic lawns, commercial golf courses, and crops. However, the underlying molecular mechanism of how auxinic herbicides selectively kill dicots and spare monocots is not understood yet (Grossmann 2000; Kelley and Riechers 2007; McSteen 2010). The mechanisms of auxin biosynthesis, transport, and signal transduction are conserved in monocots and dicots make this question more complex (McSteen 2010). Early research has proposed that the selectivity of auxinic herbicide is because of either limited translocation or rapid degradation of exogenous auxin, altered vascular anatomy, or altered perception of auxin in monocots (Monaco et al. 2002; Kelley and Riechers 2007). Auxin transport is influenced by plant vascular systems (Mattsson et al. 1999; Scarpella et al. 2006). The difference in vascular tissue structure between dicots and monocots may contribute to the selectivity of auxinic herbicides. In monocot stems, the vascular tissues (the phloem and xylem) are scattered in bundles, and lack a vascular cambium; in dicot stems, the vascular tissues are formed in rings and possess a cambium.

The auxinic herbicide family contains four major chemical groups (Figure 1), including quinolinecarboxylic acids (quinmerac and quinclorac), pyridinecarboxylic acids (fluoroxypr, triclopyr, clopyralid and picloram), a benzoic acid (dicamba), and phenoxyalkanoic acids (2,4-D, 2,4-DP, 2,4-DB, 2,4,5-T, MCPA, MCPB, and mecoprop). 2,4-D was one of the first synthetic auxin herbicides to be widely and commonly used
to control annual and perennial weeds (Peterson 1967). It was developed during World War II as one of many so-called phenoxy herbicides by aiming to increase crop yields for a nation at war (Quastel 1950). It was commercially released in 1946 becoming the first successful selective herbicide and allowed for greatly enhanced weed control in wheat, maize, rice, and other similar cereal crops because it specifically targets dicots. This herbicide family is said to have “initiated an agricultural revolution and laid the corner stone of present-day weed science” when it was first marketed in the 1940s. The low cost of 2,4-D has led to continued usage today and it remains one of the most commonly used herbicides in the world. There are over 600 2,4-D products currently on the market. It has also proven to be a useful chemical probe of auxin action because of the potent and stable xenobiotic compound that is not subject to the many endogenous homeostatic and metabolic mechanisms that can affect IAA (Ljung et al. 2002). Further herbicide research has led to the discovery and development of more commercial auxinic herbicides and considerably more experimental compounds that act via the auxin mode of action (Walsh et al. 2006).

**Metabolic and physiological processes of 2,4-D**

2,4-D is a synthetic small molecule that plants cannot degrade *in vivo*; however, members of a class of bacterial enzymes aryloxyalkanoate dioxygenases (AADs) can efficiently cleave 2,4-D into nonherbicidal dichlorophenol and glyoxylate (Wright et al. 2010). *Sphingobium herbicidovorans* is a wide-spread soil bacterium that can degrade a number of chemicals in the environment including aromatic and chloroaromatic compounds, phenols, herbicides, and polycyclic hydrocarbons (Lal et al. 2006). AAD-1 and AAD-12 proteins are derived from *Sphingobium herbicidovorans*. AAD-1 protein can degrade 2,4-D and aryloxyphenoxypropionate herbicides. AAD-12 can cleave pyridyloxyacetate auxins such as triclopyr and fluroxypyr2, which are structurally diverse members of the synthetic auxin herbicide family related to 2,4-D, and provides extended spectra of weed control. Crops like soybean and maize expressed this type of gene resistance to 2,4-D in field. Transgenic *Arabidopsis* plants expressing either *AAD-1* or *AAD-12* exhibited up to a 64-fold resistance to untransformed plants, and this application rate is about 5- to 10-fold higher than typical field use rates of 2,4-D (Wright et al. 2010).

The symptoms induced in plants by auxinic herbicides are similar to those induced by high exogenous doses of the natural auxin, IAA. At low doses, it promotes plant growth while at high doses it drives plant overgrowth, including cupping and stunting of leaves, brittleness, stunting and twisting of stems, and general abnormal growth (Grossmann 2009). According to the U.S Environmental Protection Agency (EPA), 2,4-D mainly kills plants in three ways: altering the plasticity of the cell walls, influencing the amount of protein production, and increasing ethylene production. When applied to dicotyledonous plants at effective doses, 2,4-D is absorbed through roots, stems and leaves, and is translocated to the meristems of the plant (Munro. et
Roots become thickened and stunted, phloem and xylem tissue in the stem disintegrates or blocks, and leaf growth ceases. Uncontrolled, unsustainable growth ensues, causing stem curl-over, leaf withering, and eventual plant death. Even plant species resistant to 2,4-D may become injured if it is applied during rapid cell division (tillering or flowering) or during rapid growth conditions. In conclusion, the application of auxinic herbicides interfere with plant physiological processes in three stages: First, stimulation of abnormal growth and the initiation of gene expression with characteristics such as stem curling, tissue swelling and the up-regulation of NCED genes which encode key regulatory enzymes in ABA biosynthesis and ACS genes which encode the rate-limiting enzyme of ethylene biosynthesis; second, inhibition of abnormal growth and physiological responses, such as stomatal closure and ROS production; and ending with senescence and cell death, including disruption of chloroplasts, and tissue necrosis (Grossmann 2009).

**Early stage of 2,4-D at the molecular level: perception by receptor**

Auxin was one of the first plant hormones to be discovered more than seventy years ago. It plays a crucial role in plant growth and development from embryogenesis, through all stages of development, including formation of reproductive structures and growth of the gametophyte to the regulation of plant senescence. The regulation of auxin signaling, from perception to nuclear events, and auxin transport are central to a fundamental understanding of plant growth and development. After several years of extensive study, there have been remarkable advances in understanding the molecular mechanisms of auxin. Recent research highlights include the identification of auxin receptors, modeling of auxin-dependent regulation of root and shoot meristem function, the identification of protein kinases and endocytic pathways that regulate auxin transport, and characterization of novel auxin biosynthetic pathways (Sieburth and Lee 2010). 2,4-D mimics natural auxin at the molecular level, and progress in identification of auxin signal components have helped unravel the molecular mechanisms involved in how 2,4-D acts as an herbicide.

In contrast to the main role of natural auxin, which is to promote plant growth, auxinic herbicides, like 2,4-D, kill plants. 2,4-D is structurally and functionally analogous to the natural auxin indole-3-acetic acid (IAA). That is, 2,4-D is not only structurally similar to IAA (Figure 2), but is also biologically active as an auxin in plants. Although 2,4-D looks and acts like an auxin, plants cannot metabolize this phenoxy herbicide as they can with IAA. This turns out to be the key reason 2,4-D is able to kill sensitive plants. Although 2,4-D has been used in agriculture for more than half century, the molecular mode of 2,4-D action is far from completely characterized. However, because of this structural similarity to auxin, 2,4-D, it was thought to act like auxin at the molecular level. Identification of important elements in the auxin signaling pathway provided basic clues about the molecular mode action of 2,4-D. Cell-to-cell auxin transport is largely controlled by the activity of the plasma membrane (PM)-resident auxin transporters that include the amino acid permease-like AUXIN
RESISTANTS/LIKE AUX (AUX/LAX) proteins, which mediate auxin influx (Bennett et al. 1996; Yang et al. 2006; Swarup et al. 2008); the PIN-FORMED (PIN) efflux carriers, which mediate auxin efflux, and the MULTIDRUG RESISTANCE/P-GLYCOPROTEIN (PGP) class of ATP-binding cassette auxin transporters (Luschnig et al. 1998; Petraske et al. 2006). The natural auxin IAA enters the cell through auxin-influx carriers and rapidly controls auxin-responsive gene expression by regulating the degradation of Aux/IAA repressor proteins. Aux/IAA proteins are negative regulators of auxin-responsive genes (Mockaitis and Estelle, 2008). At low concentrations of auxin, Aux/IAA binds to ARF, thus repressing the expression of auxin inducible gene; at high auxin concentration, auxin serves as “molecular glue” that brings Aux/IAA protein to F-box protein TIR1 and mediates the degradation of Aux/IAA proteins. Thereby ARF is alleviated from Aux/IAA allowing the homo-dimerization of ARFs, and binding to AuxREs, and the subsequent activation of auxin response genes (Tan et al. 2007) (Figure 3). Arabidopsis encodes five TIR1-like F-box proteins (AFB1 to AFB5), with AFB1, AFB2, and AFB3 showing the closet homology to TIR1 (Dharmasiri et al. 2005b; Parry et al. 2009). Like TIR1, the AFB1, AFB2, and AFB3 proteins act as auxin receptors and bind to IAA at different affinity. However, an extensive phylogenetic analysis revealed that the AFB4/AFB5 clade has diverged significantly from the other members of the TIR1/AFB family, which contain an amino-terminal extension of unknown function (Dharmasiri et al. 2005b; Parry et al. 2009; Greenham et al. 2011). The identification of receptors for auxin perception may shed new light on herbicide selectivity. Several experiments show different AFBs bind to different types of auxinic herbicides. The main reason for the selectivity of different auxinic herbicides by AFBs may be based on the structure or size of their auxinic binding pockets controlled by the aromatic ring size in auxinic herbicides (Calderon-Villalobos et al. 2010). For example, AFB5 plays a role in synthetic auxin selectivity. The AFB5 mutant was found to be resistant to the auxinic herbicide picloram, suggesting SCF^{AFB5} may be the main receptor for picloram (Walsh et al. 2006). TIR1 is required for 2,4-D perception. TIR1 contains a binding pocket that can bind 2,4-D (Calderon-Villalobos et al. 2010). Auxin insensitive mutants showed different components of the auxin-mediated signaling pathway in Arabidopsis, that act in auxin transport (axr4-2) and as an auxin receptor (tir1-1), were identified to be resistant to 2,4-D. In detail, auxin insensitive mutant axr4 is defective in auxin responses due to the mislocalization of AUX1, an auxin influx carrier, and is thus considered to be a gene involved in auxin transport (Dharmasiri et al., 2006). Previous reports also show membrane-localized AUX1 is required for the uptake of 2,4-D by the cell, implying 2,4-D enters cells through AUX1 (Marchant et al. 1999). As mentioned above, the TIR1 homologs AFB1, AFB2, and AFB3 are closely related to TIR1, with amino acid identity as high as 67-72% to each other. 2,4-D binds to the TIR1/AFB1-3 nuclear auxin receptors resulting in ubiquitin-dependent degradation of Aux/IAA repressors during the auxin signaling pathway (Dharmasiri et al. 2005a, 2005b). However, tir1-1 is clearly resistant to 2,4-D, and plants deficient in AFB1, AFB2 and AFB3 proteins are more sensitive to 2,4-D than tir1-1 indicating
TIR1 is the main receptor for 2,4-D (Parry et al. 2009). In a slightly weaker form than IAA, 2,4-D also acts as a “molecule glue” to mediate the interaction between Aux/IAA proteins and TIR1 F-box protein, and promote the degradation of Aux/IAAs to activate the ARF family, and thus, activate the regulation of auxin responsive genes (Figure 3). The dichlorophenyl ring and the two chlorines of 2,4-D goes into the pocket of TIR1 mimicking the double-rings of IAA. The whole structure of 2,4-D is accommodated by the overall shape and generally hydrophobic properties mimicking the whole structure of IAA and fits into the TIR1 cavity (Figure 2). The pocket for binding auxin in TIR1 is defined by two highly selective polar residues (Arg 403 and Ser 438) and together with a less selective hydrophobic environment forms a fixed cavity. The different AFB pockets fit different auxinic herbicides and partly explains how the auxin receptor can potentially bind a variety of auxinic herbicide (Tan et al. 2007). afb5 mutant is sensitive to 2,4-D suggesting afb5 is not a 2,4-D receptor (Walsh et al. 2006). Moreover, 2,4-D is also recognized by AUXIN BINDING PROTEIN1 (ABP1), which acts as a plasma membrane auxin receptor, suggesting there are multiple pathways to sensor 2,4-D (Sauer and Kleine-Vehn 2011; Simon and Petrasek 2011). However, unlike IAA, 2,4-D is not a good substrate for the auxin-binding protein ABP1 (Lobler and Klambt, 1985) and is poorly transported by auxin efflux carriers (Delbarre et al. 1996). Many heterogeneous synthetic substances have auxin activity, complicating studies of structure-activity and the search for a common mode of action (Ferro et al. 2010).

2, 4-D works as an herbicide through interplay with other hormones

Natural auxins are usually inactivated very quickly by conjugation and degradation (Ljung et al. 2002), while 2,4-D is retained for long periods of time, and therefore works as an herbicide. Auxin and auxinic herbicides induce growth by cell elongation as opposed to cell division. Hormone interplay is important in the regulation of plant growth and development (Aviles-Arnaut and Delano-Frier 2012; Xu et al. 2013). There are several possible mechanisms involved in 2,4-D controlled plant death mediated by multiple hormones such as ethylene and abscisic acid.

First, one of the most well-known effects of excess auxinic herbicides on dicots is the overproduction of the plant hormone ethylene (Grossmann 2003, 2009)(Figure 3). Unlike natural auxins, which are rapidly degraded by plants 2,4-D lasts for a long time resulting in the overproduction of ethylene which may result in a number of herbicide related responses, including epinasty and senescence. Ethylene has the simplest structure among phytohormones but plays an important role in a wide range of physiological reactions involved in plant developmental processes and environmental stresses (Bleecker and Kende 2000). The synthesis of ethylene is induced by various environmental stimuli and is involved in plant response to drought, wound, defense against pathogens and auxinic herbicides (Wang et al. 2002). The ethylene biosynthesis in plants starts from the production of S-adenosyl-methionine (SAM) through methionine and ATP combination which is catalyzed by
the enzyme SAM synthase. A further reaction, which also known as the rate-limiting step of ethylene biosynthesis is the conversion of S-adenosyl-methionine to 1-aminocyclopropane-1-carboxylic acid (ACC) by the enzyme ACC synthase (ACS). The final step for ethylene production is catalyzed by ACC oxidase (ACO) producing ethylene, hydrogen cyanide and carbon dioxide from ACC. The hydrogen cyanide is converted into a harmless compound by another enzyme. Both ACS and ACO are encoded by multigene families in plants, and their expression patterns are regulated by several environmental stimuli including 2,4-D (Lin et al. 2009). In the potato plant, various auxins with different concentrations induce an increase of ethylene production. 2,4-D at 10 µM, NAA at 50 µM, and IAA at 100 µM were found to be the most effective doses in stimulating ethylene production, respectively (Arteca 1982). In Arabidopsis, the inflorescence tip and root produced the highest amount of ethylene in response to IAA (Abeles et al. 1992). Tests on IAA, 2,4-D, or NAA induced ethylene production in inflorescence revealed that ethylene production increased with IAA concentration from 1 µM to 100 µM and reached a plateau at 500 µM, while 2,4-D and NAA elicited much greater ethylene production than IAA at all concentrations tested in inflorescence stalks (Arteca and Arteca 2008). Further research showed auxin-insensitive mutants axr1-12 and axr1-3 (axr1 works with RUB1-conjugation enzymes to regulate the activity of SCF^{TIR1/AFB}) produced less IAA-induced ethylene than the control, while axr2-1 (gain-of-function mutant of IAA7) produced barely detectable levels of ethylene in Arabidopsis (Arteca and Arteca 2008). These results further showed that the induction of ethylene production in auxinic herbicides like 2,4-D depends on the auxin signaling pathway. Although the exact role of ethylene in the molecular mode of action of 2,4-D is still not fully understood, it is clearly a secondary response in plants exposed to 2,4-D.

Another effect of excess ethylene production in response to 2,4-D is the stimulation of abscisic acid (ABA) production (Figure 3). The rate-limiting factor of ABA biosynthesis is the conversion of 9-cis-neoxanthin to cis-xanthoxin by 9-cis-epoxycarotenoid dioxygenases (NCED), and the plastid enzyme 9-cis-epoxycarotenoid dioxygenase (NCED) catalysis is encoded by a family of NCED genes (Nambara and Marion-Poll, 2005). Several experiments show 2,4-D induces the expression of NCED genes, consequently increasing the production of ABA (Hansen and Grossmann 2000). 2,4-D may induce ethylene to induce the production of ABA and ABA directly mediates plant death via stomatal closure.

**Reactive Oxygen Species and NO are small molecules mediated in 2,4-D toxicity**

ROS is considered the main toxic effect caused by the application of 2,4-D. It is involved in 2,4-D-induced epinasty by promoting cell expansion and vascular tissue proliferation and signals molecules to induce cellular response against stress conditions (Mittler et al. 2004; Pazmino et al. 2011). The increase of ROS production induced by 2,4-D is a direct consequence of the activation of specific enzymes such as xanthine
oxido-reductase (XOD) involved in ureide metabolism, acyl-CoA oxidase (ACX) involved in fatty acid β-oxidation and jasmonic acid biosynthesis, and lipoxygenase (LOX) (Pazmino et al. 2011). NADPH oxidases from the plasma membrane have been considered as another main resource of ROS induced by 2,4-D. Another important small molecule, nitric oxide (NO), appears to be key in 2,4-D response. Under 2,4-D treatment, NO production is reduced and S-nitrosylation of peroxisomal proteins increases in pea plants (Ortega-Galisteo et al. 2012). The precise molecular mechanism of reduced NO production in 2,4-D application is still poorly understood.

**Mutants resistant to 2,4-D**

To date, several genes with diverse functions have been recognized as resistant to 2,4-D (Table 1). Transient activation of *PDR5* and *TPO1* in yeast shows resistance to 2,4-D (Teixeira and Sa-Correia 2002). *PDR5* encodes an ABC plasma membrane multidrug transporter and *TPO1* encodes a plasma membrane drug/H⁺ antiporter belonging to the MFS known to confer resistance to a number of chemically and structurally unrelated compounds. *AtPDR9*, a *PDR5* homolog in *Arabidopsis* encoding a MDR transporter belonging to the ABC superfamily, was shown to be involved in auxinic herbicide resistance. Gain-of-function mutant of *AtPDR9* exhibits increased tolerance to 2,4-D and loss-of-function mutations in *PDR9* confer 2,4-D hypersensitivity, without any effect on IAA and indole-butyric acid (IBA). This suggests that PDR9 transporter 2,4-D effluxes out of plant cells specifically without influencing endogenous auxin transport (Ito and Gray 2006). In conclusion, plant cells may uptake 2,4-D by AUX1 and export it by PDR9 other than PIN genes. *TPO1* homologs in *Arabidopsis*, *AtTPO1* (At5gl3750) expressed in yeast, confers resistance to herbicide 2,4-D (Cabrito et al. 2009). Further microarray analysis of yeast exposed to 2,4-D revealed 11 genes encoding multidrug transporters of the ATP-binding cassette (ABC) superfamily or the major facilitator superfamily (MFS) are up-regulated upon exposure to 2,4-D (Teixeira et al. 2006). Further characterization of how plant ortholog proteins function in 2,4-D will better unravel the mystery of how 2,4-D works. These results show other transporters in plasma membrane may account for the import or export of 2,4-D except auxin influx carrier and auxin efflux carriers.

Yutaka Oono’s group focuses on isolating novel anti-auxin resistant mutants (named *aar*) in *Arabidopsis* by screening mutants for root growth resistance to a putative anti-auxin, *p*-chlorophenoxyisobutyric acid (PCIB), which inhibits auxin action by interfering with the upstream auxin-signaling events. Several genes specifically affected by 2,4-D were identified using this method. *aar1* is a small acidic protein (SMAP1) functioning as a regulatory component that mediates responses to 2,4-D. It may work upstream of auxin signaling events since SMAP1 may be an accessory protein that stabilizes the auxin signaling complex, and the multi-gene deletion
alleles of aar1 and the RNAi plants display 2,4-D resistant phenotypes. aar1 is a unique auxin-signaling mutant that exhibits 2,4-D specific resistance without any changes in 2,4-D transport or metabolism, implying that plants have a partially distinct downstream response pathway for 2,4-D (Rahman et al. 2006). Further studies characterize SMAP1 protein as physically interacting with the RUB modification components, CONSTITUTIVE PHOTOMORPHOGENIC9 SIGNALOSOME (CSN) and AXR1 to regulate growth and development of Arabidopsis under limiting AXR1 or CSN function (Nakasone et al. 2012). aar2-1 is a T-DNA insertion of the AtCUL1 gene (AXR6), which encodes a scaffold subunit of the SCF ubiquitin ligase complex (Hellmann et al. 2003). Several aar mutants with a single nucleotide replacement were mapped to the tir1 locus. Fine-mapping of aar3 mutant shows the AAR3 gene encodes a DCN1-like protein and regulates the response to 2,4-D in Arabidopsis roots (Biswas et al. 2007). Auxin resistance in root growth is generally associated with auxin transport or auxin signaling (Hobbe and Estelle, 1994). Generally, it is assumed that the gravity response in the root is tightly regulated by the polar auxin-transport system and that any perturbation of this system results in root (Luschnig et al. 1998; Muller et al. 1998; Marchant et al. 1999). Several studies show aar mutants are defective in auxin-related signaling rather than auxin transport. First, the normal gravity response observed in aar roots confirms that the mutations do not affect the auxin-transport system (Biswas et al. 2007). Second, PCIB does not require known auxin influx or efflux carrier proteins to enter or exit from the cell as was shown by analyzing aux1 and tir1-1 mutants, which are sensitive to PCIB (Oono et al. 2003). Finally, sequence motifs of the protein encoded by the AAR3 gene and the identification of TIR1 and AtCUL1 alleles in PCIB screening suggest that PCIB targets the signaling component rather than auxin-transport system (Biswas et al. 2007).

In rice, overexpression of two Aux/IAAs (OsIAA1 and OsIAA4) exhibit significant resistance to 2,4-D (Song et al. 2009b; Song and Xu 2013). Rice is a model monocot plant, which is resistant to auxinic herbicides. However, at some stages such as rapid cell division like tillering or during rapid growth conditions it shows sensitivity to 2,4-D, thus, elucidation of the mechanisms involved in monocot plant responses to 2,4-D will improve the usage of 2,4-D in rice production.

Other functions of 2,4-D

Auxins are best known for their two main uses: they form the active ingredient in rooting hormone mixtures, and they are used for the selective control of broadleaf weeds. The main function of 2,4-D at low concentrations mimics auxin to promote cell division and elongation, and as an herbicide at high concentrations to control broad-leaf growth. In tissue culture 2,4-D can replace IAA as an hormone supplement for normal cell development in plant-cell culture mediums. Besides these main functions, there is other usage of 2,4-D. Rice is
one of the most important food crops in the world, but suffers heavily from insect pests. It is known that an herbivore attack stimulates a variety of plant hormones including JA, SA and ET, which subsequently regulate defensive responses (Zhou et al. 2009). 2,4-D can be used as a potent rice defense elicitor. Low doses of 2,4-D induced a strong defensive reaction upstream of the jasmonic acid and ethylene pathways, and significantly increased trypsin proteinase inhibitor activity and volatile production. In a field experiment, 2,4-D sprayed on rice attracted the brown planthopper *Nilaparvata lugens* and its main egg parasitoid *Anagrus nilaparvatae* (Xin et al. 2012). To study the auxin signaling transduction, 2,4-D has been successfully used as an exogenous source of auxin in experiments and mutant screens, thus demonstrating the utility of 2,4-D as a chemical surrogate for IAA to dissect auxin response.

**PERSPECTIVE AND CONCLUSION**

2,4-D was the first herbicide to be used worldwide and it continues to be one of the most important herbicides. Despite its long history of usage in agriculture, the precise molecular mechanism of how it works as an herbicide is still poorly understood. Although much progress has been achieved in the past decade on the signal transduction of auxin, which partly explains the molecular mechanism of 2,4-D as an herbicide, the detailed mechanisms of how 2,4-D kills broadleaf plants without affecting monocot plants is still far from completely understood. Further study the auxin signaling transduction pathway and especially focus on genes like SMAP that specifically respond to 2,4-D will help elucidate the molecular mode action of 2,4-D. Unraveling the molecular mechanism of 2,4-D will not only contribute to research on how hormones work at a molecular level, such as the cross-talk between hormones, but will also provide basic information for better use of this herbicide in agriculture.
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Table 1 Mutants resistant to 2,4-Dichlorophenoxyacetic acid

<table>
<thead>
<tr>
<th>Gene name</th>
<th>description</th>
<th>species</th>
<th>Experiment condition</th>
<th>reference</th>
</tr>
</thead>
<tbody>
<tr>
<td><strong>PDR5</strong></td>
<td>ABC plasma membrane multidrug transporter</td>
<td>yeast</td>
<td>transient activation in yeast</td>
<td>Teixeira and Sa-Correia 2002</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td><strong>TP01</strong></td>
<td>plasma membrane drug/H+ antiporter</td>
<td>yeast</td>
<td>transient activation in yeast</td>
<td>Teixeira and Sa-Correia 2002</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td><strong>AtPDR9</strong></td>
<td>a MDR transporter belonging to the ABC superfamily</td>
<td>Arabidopsis</td>
<td>Gain-of-function and loss-of-function in Arabidopsis</td>
<td>Ito and Gray 2006</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td><strong>AtTP01</strong></td>
<td>plasma membrane drug/H+ antiporter in Arabidopsis</td>
<td>Arabidopsis</td>
<td>Overexpression in yeast</td>
<td>Cabrito et al. 2009</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td><strong>aar1</strong></td>
<td>small acidic protein</td>
<td>Arabidopsis</td>
<td>multi-gene deletions and RNAi</td>
<td>Rahman et al. 2006</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td><strong>aar2</strong></td>
<td>AtCUL1</td>
<td>Arabidopsis</td>
<td>T-DNA insertion and semidominant mutant</td>
<td>Hellmann et al. 2003</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td><strong>aar3</strong></td>
<td>DCN1-like protein</td>
<td>Arabidopsis</td>
<td>Recessive mutant</td>
<td>Biswas et al. 2007</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td><strong>OsIAA1</strong></td>
<td>Aux/IAA protein</td>
<td>rice</td>
<td>Overexpression in rice</td>
<td>Song et al. 2009b</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td><strong>OsIAA4</strong></td>
<td>Aux/IAA protein</td>
<td>rice</td>
<td>Overexpression in rice</td>
<td>Song et al. 2013</td>
</tr>
</tbody>
</table>
Figure 1. Structures of auxinic herbicides belonging to different chemical families

Figure 2. Structural similarities between natural auxin, IAA; and an auxinic herbicide, 2, 4-D

Figure 3. A proposed model of the molecular mechanism on 2,4-D works as herbicide
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